EXHIBIT G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NO. 04-11450-RGS

DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM GUSTUS, a witness called on Behalf of the Plaintiff, pursuant to Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, before Diane M. Durette, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Looney & Grossman, 101 Arch Street, Boston, Massachusetts on Wednesday, July 27, 2005, commencing at 10:20 a.m.

ORIGINAL

- 1 Q. Had you ever had conversations with anyone
- else in that group?
- 3 A. No, not to my recollection.
- 4 Q. Do you have any knowledge concerning the
- 5 proceedings before the Lynnfield
- 6 conservation commission with regard to the
- 7 Crystal site?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of whether the town ever
- 10 considered a diesel fuel bylaw prior to Mr.
- 11 LaGreca introducing the idea to the town?
- 12 A. I'm not aware.
- 13 O. How about diesel fuel regulations?
- 14 A. I'm not aware.
- Q. Did Mr. LaGreca or anyone else ever show you
- a proposed bylaw for diesel fuel burning?
- MR. CLOHERTY: At any time?
- MR. COLBERT: At any time.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. When was that?
- 21 A. I don't recall specifically. Sometime
- during the summer of 2003.
- 23 Q. What did you do with it when you received
- 24 it?

- 1 A. I read it.
- 2 Q. Anything else?
- 3 A. I may have passed it on. I think I passed
- 4 it on to town counsel.
- 5 Q. Was town counsel Tom Mullen at that time?
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 Q. Did you have any conversations with Tom
- 8 Mullen about the proposal that was given to
- 9 you?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Yes or no answer,
- 11 please.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. What were those conversations?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection, I
- instruct the witness not to answer.
- MR. COLBERT: I just repeat --
- 17 MR. CLOHERTY: Certainly.
- MR. COLBERT: -- our opposition to
- 19 your objection.
- 20 Q. How many conversations did you have with Tom
- 21 Mullen about the proposed diesel fuel bylaw?
- MR. CLOHERTY: You can answer.
- 23 A. I don't recall. It was probably more than
- one, but I can't tell you how many.

G&M Court Reporters, Ltd. 617-338-0030

- 1 O. More than three?
- 2 A. No. Several, two, three. I don't know.
- 3 Q. But not more than three or maybe more than
- 4 three?
- 5 A. Ever or up to that point?
- 6 O. Up to that point.
- 7 A. Less than three.
- 8 O. How about ever?
- 9 MR. CLOHERTY: Since the initiation
- of this litigation?
- MR. COLBERT: Yes.
- 12 A. Many.
- 13 Q. Up to the filing of the litigation though?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. What, three?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Many?
- 18 A. Many.
- MR. COLBERT: John, you're going to
- object to my asking about the subject of
- those conversations, each and every one of
- them; is that right?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Yes, I will unless
- you can establish some other foundation that

- they are not privileged. For instance, I'm
- not going to tell you how to direct your
- guestioning but there's been a statement
- made on the record at a public meeting I'm
- sure where there was conversations that took
- 6 place in public. Those would not be
- privileged. So I'm not going to instruct
- 8 the witness not to answer as to those
- 9 conversations.
- 10 Q. As to the conversations you had with
- 11 Attorney Mullen, was there anyone else
- around that took part in those
- 13 conversations?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 O. Were any of them in a public meeting?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Which ones?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Which public
- meetings? You're not asking the contents of
- his discussions, right?
- MR. COLBERT: I'm asking which
- public meetings?
- 23 A. There was a Selectmen's meeting that was
- held immediately preceding the town meeting

- on October 20, 2003.
- 2 Q. Any other public meetings where
- 3 conversations took place with Attorney
- 4 Mullen about this diesel fuel bylaw?
- 5 A. Not that I recall.
- 6 Q. Did Attorney Mullen speak at the town
- meeting in November of 2003 concerning
- 8 diesel fuel bylaw?
- 9 A. The meeting was in October but yes, he did.
- 10 Q. Could you tell me what he said, please?
- 11 A. He said that he had several concerns related
- to the proposed bylaw. His main concerns
- were possible federal preemption which he
- somewhat discounted and thought that the
- town could prevail on the preemption
- argument and that he was concerned about
- this bylaw as it may be applied to Crystal
- 18 Motors.
- 19 Q. Did he say anything else?
- 20 A. He was very careful in his discourse at the
- town meeting, at one point stating that he
- had some concerns, he was concerned about
- potential litigation. He implied that he
- had spoken to counsel for Crystal prior to

- the town meeting, and he was fairly
- 2 confident, and I'm paraphrasing, that
- litigation would result if this bylaw were
- passed, although he had, quote, not seen the
- 5 complaint, quote. He claimed, he said that
- 6 he was somewhat concerned about whether this
- 7 could be considered some sort of a
- 8 regulatory taking.
- 9 Q. Did he explain what regulatory taking meant?
- 10 A. I don't recall whether he explained that at
- the town meeting.
- 12 Q. Is this town meeting on a videotape
- 13 cassette?
- 14 A. It is.
- MR. COLBERT: I had asked for that
- the other day, John.
- MR. CLOHERTY: I've already made my
- request to my client. When we get it, we'll
- provide it, Counsel.
- 20 Q. Did you speak at the town meeting on the
- 21 diesel fuel bylaw?
- 22 A. I did not.
- Q. Did any of the Board of Selectmen speak?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 O. Which ones and what did they say?
- 2 A. The chairman of the board, Bob Whalen spoke
- and expressed on the one hand he thought
- that there were, there was some merit to
- these kinds of regulations but he felt -- he
- didn't feel, he stated that based upon the
- 7 great potential for litigation that the
- article should be indefinitely postponed.
- 9 Q. Did any other selectmen speak on the
- 10 article?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 O. Is he a lawyer?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 O. Any other town officials speak at that town
- meeting?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. Who?
- 18 MR. CLOHERTY: Just on the
- 19 article?
- MR. COLBERT: On the article, yes.
- 21 A. The chairman of the planning board spoke on
- behalf of the planning board on all articles
- related to bylaws, the planning board must
- make a recommendation.

- 1 O. What is his name and what did he say with
- 2 regard to the diesel fuel bylaw?
- 3 A. His name is Richard O'Neill and his comments
- 4 pretty much mirrored that of the chairman of
- the Board of Selectmen that there was a
- 6 great potential for litigation, and he
- 7 reported that the planning board had voted
- 8 to recommend indefinite postponement on the
- article. Dr. Peinert spoke on behalf of the
- 10 Board of Health.
- 11 Q. When the planning board member spoke, did he
- mention Crystal Motors?
- 13 A. I don't recall whether he specifically
- 14 mentioned them.
- o. Did you agree with the statements of town
- 16 counsel at the town meeting?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 18 A. I don't know that I agreed with him or
- disagreed with him. I understood his
- 20 concerns.
- Q. Why did you understand his concerns?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 23 A. Because he explained them in a way that I
- could understand. I looked at the bylaw, he

- told me what his concerns were and I could
- look at the bylaw and listen to what he told
- me and say okay, I understand.
- 4 O. As the town administrator and also as a
- lawyer, did you share any of his concerns?
- 6 MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Were any studies presented at the town
- 9 meeting that linked diesel fuel burning at
- the Crystal site to potential adverse health
- effects that could be caused to town
- residents?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 14 A. No study that was done specifically of that
- site and those residents was presented.
- There were general studies that were
- mentioned.
- 18 O. How about studies relating to any other
- diesel burning site in the town of Lynnfield
- and the potential adverse health effects
- that could be caused to Lynnfield residents,
- were any of those presented at the town
- meeting?
- 24 A. Not that I recall.

- 1 O. Would there be a record of those maintained
- by the town somewhere if they had been
- 3 presented?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 O. Where would that be maintained?
- 6 A. The town clerk. If anything was presented
- at the town meeting and handed to the
- 8 moderator it should be in the town clerk's
- 9 files.
- MR. COLBERT: I'm going to request
- the town clerk's file on this town meeting
- 12 as well.
- MR. CLOHERTY: Certainly. I don't
- think that's been part of any prior request
- so if you want to just put that in a letter,
- cover letter to me, we'll follow-up.
- MR. COLBERT: Okay, thanks.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of any other town that has a
- diesel fuel burning bylaw in Massachusetts?
- 20 A. I'm not aware.
- 21 Q. Have you ever inquired into whether any
- other town has such a bylaw?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Do you have a view as to whether state laws

- concerning diesel fuel are adequate?
- 2 MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Do you have a view as to whether federal
- 5 laws regarding diesel fuel are adequate?
- 6 MR. CLOHERTY: Objection.
- 7 A. No. I don't have enough, I don't know about
- 8 those regulations and laws. There's no way
- 9 I could know.
- 10 Q. Other than public meetings you referenced
- with regard to Attorney Mullen, were there
- any Board of Selectmen meetings where
- 13 Attorney Mullen made statements about the
- 14 diesel fuel bylaw?
- 15 A. Not that I recall.
- 16 Q. Any other town departments where Attorney
- Mullen made such statements at their
- meetings?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. How about executive sessions of meetings of
- the Board of Selectmen, did Attorney Mullen
- ever attend meetings and discuss the diesel
- fuel bylaw during any executive session?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection. You can

- answer yes or no.
- 2 A. I don't -- I don't believe so.
- 3 Q. How about any other meetings that were not
- 4 open to the public that Attorney Mullen had
- with you, were there any conversations about
- the diesel fuel bylaw?
- 7 MR. CLOHERTY: Objection. Yes or
- 8 no, please.
- 9 A. Meetings?
- 10 O. Yes.
- 11 A. I don't believe so.
- 12 Q. So other than telephone calls there were no
- face-to-face meetings with Attorney Mullen
- 14 concerning the diesel fuel bylaw that you
- 15 had?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection. Yes or
- no, please.
- 18 A. Not that I recall.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether any other town official
- had conversations with Attorney Mullen that
- were not part of the public meeting
- concerning the diesel fuel bylaw?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. You are aware that the diesel fuel bylaw

- contains a provision that prohibits the
- location of a diesel burning site within
- 1,000 feet of a residential area; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. Do you have any understanding as to the
- basis for the 1,000 foot setback?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you ever discuss that with anyone?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. Who?
- 12 A. Town counsel.
- 13 O. What did you say?
- MR. CLOHERTY: Objection. Don't
- answer that.
- MR. COLBERT: We'll repeat our
- opposition to the objection.
- 18 Q. Anyone else other than town counsel?
- 19 A. Not that I recall.
- 20 Q. How about any other provision of the diesel
- fuel bylaw, did you have conversation with
- 22 anyone regarding concerns about specific
- provisions?
- 24 A. Yes.